**Replication and Extension Project: Submission Format and Grading Rubric**

Please submit a single .zip folder containing the following files:

1. Raw data files in their initial format (.e.g., .csv, .txt, .dta, etc.). These files should be completely unedited.
2. .R file(s) to clean the data. These should take the files in (1) and create a new dataset (or datasets) with which you do your analysis.
   1. I should be able to run these files on my machine simply by changing the path to the raw data. Be sure to comment where the path is specified.
   2. If I need to install any packages to run your code, be sure to specify that in a comment at the top of your file.
3. Your “cleaned” R dataframe(s) from (2) with .Rda extensions.
4. .R file(s) to do your replication and extension tables and figures. These files should take the .Rda files from (3) and produce your tables and figures.
   1. It is okay to include separate files for each table/figure, or combine them all into one file.
   2. Again, I should be able to run this on my machine by just changing the path.
5. Word Document containing the following:
   1. Name of all group members
   2. Name of chosen paper
   3. Title, table/figure number, and page number of each table/figure you chose to replicate
      1. Include a brief description of what each table/figure is showing. Five or fewer sentences for each.
         1. If a figure contains multiple sub-figures, it is fine to choose just one to replicate.
      2. Write out the regression being estimated (if applicable)
   4. Copy and paste your recreated table/figure and the original from the paper side-by-side.
      1. Comment on any differences. For example,
         1. Do your estimates differ? If so, why?
         2. Did you do anything to improve the readability/ aesthetics of the table/figure?
   5. Describe your extension (no more than one page. Totally fine if it’s less). Write out your regression equation. Copy and paste your “extension” tables and figures, along with a description of what each of them show.
      1. Refer back to the document “Replication and Extension Project: Preliminary Instructions” for ideas of what to do here.

**Approximate Grading Rubric**

**A**

* Follow all the above instructions
* Code compiles
* Replicated tables/figures are of professional quality. They look as good or better than those in the actual paper.
  + Any differences are between the actual table/figure and the replication are identified and discussed.
* The extension exercise was interesting and clearly explained.
* Figure and table were of professional quality.
  + Results from the table/figure are interpreted correctly.

**B**

* Same as above, except one of the following:
  + Figures/tables are readable, but not quite professional quality
  + The extension is not clearly explained, or the results are not interpreted correctly.

**C**

* Same as above, except one of the following:
  + Figures and tables are not of professional quality
  + Extension doesn’t make sense

**D/F**

* Code does not compile, or
* Project is incomplete (e.g., doesn’t include both replication and extension)