

	90-100 EXCEPTIONAL	80-89 OUTSTANDING	70-79 EXCELLENT
Descriptive Analysis and Exploratory Analyses (20% weighting)	Perfect implementation of descriptive analysis. Creative and comprehensive visual illustration to inspect various aspects of the data. Accurate and concise explanations of results. Graphs and Tables are perfectly formatted.	Perfect implementation of descriptive analysis. Perfect and comprehensive visual illustration to inspect various aspects of the data. Accurate and concise explanations of results. Graphs and Tables are perfectly formatted.	Excellent implementation of descriptive analysis. Excellent and almost comprehensive visual illustration to inspect various aspects of the data. Accurate and concise explanations of results. Graphs and Tables are adequately formatted with minor issues.
Main Regression Analysis (35% weighting)	The implementation of models is flawless. All models have been interpreted rigorously, which demonstrates a full understanding of the theory. Perfect usage of graphs to enhance interpretation when needed. Regression tables (and graphs) are perfectly formatted.	The implementation of models is flawless. All models have been interpreted rigorously, which demonstrates a full understanding of the theory. Very good usage of graphs to enhance interpretation when needed. Regression tables (and graphs) are perfectly formatted.	The implementation of models is almost flawless. Models have been interpreted rigorously, which demonstrates an excellent understanding of the theory. Good usage of graphs to enhance interpretation when needed. Regression tables (and graphs) are adequately formatted with minor issues.
Diagnostics and Robustness Analysis (35% weighting)	The implementation of diagnostics analysis and remedial actions is flawless. All results have been interpreted and compared with the baseline model rigorously, which demonstrates a full understanding of the theory. Perfect usage of graphs to enhance interpretation when needed. Regression tables (and graphs) are perfectly formatted.	The implementation of diagnostics analysis and remedial actions is flawless. All results have been interpreted and compared with the baseline model rigorously, which demonstrates a full understanding of the theory. Very good usage of graphs to enhance interpretation when needed. Regression tables (and graphs) are perfectly formatted.	The implementation of diagnostics analysis and remedial actions is almost flawless. Results have been interpreted and compared with the baseline model rigorously, which demonstrates an excellent understanding of the theory. Good usage of graphs to enhance interpretation when needed. Regression tables (and graphs) are adequately formatted with minor issues.
Introduction and Overall Presentation (10% weighting)	The introduction, writing style, overall structure/presentation of the report, and programming code are perfect.	The introduction, writing style, overall structure/presentation of the report, and programming code are excellent.	The introduction, writing style, overall structure/presentation of the report, and programming code are excellent, with only minor issues.

60-69 GOOD	50-59 ADEQUATE	40-49 BELOW EXPECTATIONS	30-39 WELL BELOW EXPECTATIONS
Good implementation of descriptive analysis. Good and almost comprehensive visual illustration to inspect various aspects of the data. reasonable explanations of results. Graphs and Tables are properly formatted with minor issues but can be improved.	Adequate implementation of descriptive analysis. Adequate visual illustration to inspect various aspects of the data but lacks comprehensiveness. Reasonable explanations of results. Graphs and Tables are adequately formatted but can be improved.	The implementation of descriptive analysis has mistakes. The visual illustration and explanations of results below the expected level. Graphs and Tables are not adequately formatted.	The implementation of descriptive analysis is poor. The visual illustration and explanations of results are well below the expected level. Graphs and Tables are not adequately formatted.
The implementation of models is good and without critical mistakes. Models have been interpreted reasonably, which demonstrate a good understanding of the theory. Regression tables (and graphs) are properly formatted with minor issues but can be improved.	The implementation of models is adequate but with a few mistakes. Models have been interpreted reasonably, which demonstrate an adequate understanding of the theory. Regression tables (and graphs) are adequately formatted but can be improved.	The implementation of models has mistakes. Models have been interpreted below the expected level. Regression tables (and graphs) are not adequately formatted.	The implementation of models is poor. Models have been interpreted below the expected level. Regression tables (and graphs) are not adequately formatted.
The implementation of diagnostics analysis and remedial actions is good and without critical mistakes. Results have been interpreted and compared with the baseline model reasonably, which demonstrate a good understanding of the theory. Regression tables (and graphs) are properly formatted with minor issues but can be improved.	The implementation of diagnostics analysis and remedial actions is adequate but with a few mistakes. Results have been interpreted and compared with the baseline model reasonably, which demonstrate an adequate understanding of the theory. Regression tables (and graphs) are adequately formatted but can be improved.	The implementation of diagnostics analysis and remedial actions has mistakes. Results have been interpreted and compared with the baseline model below the expected level. Regression tables (and graphs) are not adequately formatted.	The implementation of diagnostics analysis and remedial actions is poor. Results have been interpreted and compared with the baseline model below the expected level. Regression tables (and graphs) are not adequately formatted.
The introduction, writing style, overall structure/presentation of the report, and programming code are good.	The introduction, writing style, overall structure/presentation of the report, and programming code are acceptable.	The introduction, writing style, overall structure/presentation of the report, and programming code are below the expected level.	The introduction, writing style, overall structure/presentation of the report, and programming code are below the expected level.

20-29 FAR BELOW EXPECTATIONS	10-19 FAR BELOW EXPECTATIONS	0-9 VERY FAR BELOW EXPECTATIONS
The implementation of descriptive analysis is flawed or missing. The visual illustration and explanations of results are below the expected level. Graphs and Tables format needs dramatic improvement.	The implementation of descriptive analysis is flawed or missing. The visual illustration and explanations of results are very poor. Graphs and Tables format needs dramatic improvement.	The implementation of descriptive analysis is flawed or missing. The visual illustration and explanations of results are mostly missing or wrong. Graphs and Tables format needs dramatic improvement.
The implementation of models is flawed or missing. Models have been interpreted below the expected level. Regression tables (and graphs) format needs dramatic improvement.	The implementation of models is flawed or missing. Models mostly have been interpreted wrongly. Regression tables (and graphs) format needs dramatic improvement.	The implementation of models is flawed or missing. Models mostly have been interpreted wrongly or no interpretation at all. Regression tables (and graphs) format needs dramatic improvement.
The implementation of diagnostics analysis and remedial actions is flawed or missing. Results have been interpreted and compared with the baseline model below the expected level. Regression tables (and graphs) format needs dramatic improvement.	The implementation of diagnostics analysis and remedial actions is flawed or missing. Results mostly have been interpreted wrongly. Regression tables (and graphs) format needs dramatic improvement.	The implementation of diagnostics analysis and remedial actions is flawed or missing. Results mostly have been interpreted wrongly or no interpretation at all. Regression tables (and graphs) format needs dramatic improvement.
The introduction, writing style, overall structure/presentation of the report, and programming code are poor and inconsistent.	The introduction, writing style, overall structure/presentation of the report, and programming code are very poor and inconsistent.	The introduction, writing style, overall structure/presentation of the report, and programming code are very poor and inconsistent and/or missing.